Engineering Graduate Studies M.S. in Engineering Thesis Proposal Defense Evaluation Form

Thesis Title: Name of Proponent: Degree Program: Defense Schedule: Time:

I. Written Report

- 1. The problem is stated clearly and without ambiguity.
- 2. The objectives are specific, measurable and realistic.
- 3. The related literature establishes the need of the study and the likelihood for obtaining significant result.

Room:

- 4. The project is broken down into its main components, activities, and sub-activities.
- 5. The schedule of activities is realistic and within the period allotted for a thesis project.
- 6. The equipment and other resources needed are properly identified.
- 7. The method of validation of claims is very clear.
- 8. The proposed testing/validation procedures are acceptable.
- 9. The monitoring and documentation of progress are integrated in activities.
- 10. The report is written in proper format.
- 11. The presentation of ideas follows an orderly manner.
- 12. This report is well documented/properly referenced.
- 13. The report uses graphs/charts/ tables effectively.
- 14. The report is written in correct grammar and style.
- 15. The report has satisfactorily list of bibliography/ references.

II. Oral Presentation

- 1. The proponent shows enthusiasm and confidence about the proposal.
- 2. The proponent makes use of quality and appropriate visual aids.
- 3. The proponent is able to present his ideas clearly.
- 4. The presentation follows an orderly manner.
- 5. The proponent interacts with the panel very well.
- 6. The proponent is able to answer questions convincingly.
- 7. The proponent is in proper attire.

III. Overall Evaluation

() Satisfactory () Unsatisfactory

IV. Comment and Suggestions:

Panelist

Signature