A dissemination forum entitled “Projects in Progress” was sponsored by the Social Development Research Center on March 5, 2008 at the Ariston Estrada Seminar Room. The forum was envisioned to be a way of informing and sharing with the wider community how SDRC’s researches are carried out, and the kind of data that unfolds in the process. Marking its twenty-ninth year since its establishment at the University, the Center presented three projects involving four areas of interest in its research agenda, namely upland development and management, displaced populations, and urban health.

The presentations made during the forum were by Dr. Ma. Elena Chiong-Javier on “Agroforestry and Sustainable Vegetable Production in Southeast Asian Watersheds: Market and Gender Components of TMPEGS Philippines”; Ms. Alicia B. Manlagnit on “Forced to Flee by Nature: Perceptions and Experiences of Displaced People in a Rural Philippine Municipality”; and Ms. Ysadora F. Mendoza on “Eco-bio-social Factors of Vector Density: Developing an Integrated and Collaborative Approach to Dengue Control in the Philippines.”

The presentations were followed by an open forum that was participated in by research fellows, students of the Master in Health Social Science program, and guests from other institutions.

Agroforestry and Sustainable Vegetable Production in Southeast Asian Watersheds: Market and Gender Components of the TMPEGS Philippines

Ma. Elena Chiong Javier, presentor

Dr. Ma. Elena Chiong Javier, fondly called Ellen, is an Associate Professor of the Behavioral Sciences Department. She obtained her Ph.D. in Community Development, with cognates in Social Forestry and Environmental Studies, at the University of the Philippines at Los Baños; her MA in Anthropology at the Ateneo de Manila University; and her AB and BSE, major in English and minor in History, at the Ateneo de Zamboanga. She has served as research associate and fellow at SDRC for over two decades. Currently, she is the project director of two ongoing studies: the first is the subject of her presentation, and the second is “Negotiating Land Rights and Natural Resource Regulations for Local People: The Role and Effectiveness of Secondary Farmer and Community Organizations in Upland Watersheds of Southeast Asia,” funded by the International Centre for Research in Agroforestry (ICRAF) SEA Regional Research Programme.
In general, the project seeks to reduce poverty, food scarcity, and environmental degradation in the region by combining economically-viable and resource-conserving technologies and gender friendly socio-economic policies that will benefit and reward stakeholders in a watershed, especially small scale women and men farmers. It is hypothesized that “integrating vegetable production in the agroforestry system on small farms will help to alleviate poverty and enhance environmental protection, sustainability, and ecosystem biodiversity in SEA watersheds and vice versa.” The project has specific objectives on SANREM technology, marketing, policy, environmental and socioeconomic impacts, gender, and scaling-up (TMPEGs). Different studies to realize each of these objectives are simultaneously and sequentially being conducted primarily by collaborating institutions in the three countries with inputs from technical experts from western academic institutions and international research centers. In the Philippine case, the market and gender studies were assigned to SDRC.

The market study aims to conduct market value chain research at the local, regional, and national levels that build upon existing market strategies and develop interventions to overcome constraints and take advantage of opportunities. On the other hand, the gender component aims to provide mechanisms to improve the socio-economic well-being of women engaged in vegetable production and agroforestry enterprises, especially in terms of income and labor share, and to involve women in decisions that concern their welfare.

Among the insights gained from the study were that:

- Marketing is an individual rather than a collective enterprise; the marketer thrives through ingenious ways of tying the farmer and his supplies to the marketer.

- The supply chains are not demand-driven but dominated and driven by middlemen-buyers; farmers at the upstream are neither market-savvy nor oriented to downstream/consumer preferences.

- Any assistance to increase farm productivity, whether for vegetables or tree crops, will continue to be cornered by men.

- Development of tree-based market enterprises cannot but also be male-directed and -oriented.

- However, vegetable-related market enterprises will most likely impact directly and positively on women's welfare.
Forced to Flee by Nature: Perceptions and Experiences of Displaced People in a Rural Philippine Municipality

Alicia B. Manlagnit, presentor

Alicia B. Manlagnit, better known as Alice, started as an anthropology teacher with the Behavioral Sciences Department in 1994. Equipped with a BA in Anthropology and two MAs – one in Health Social Science and the other in Medical Anthropology –, she has been, along with Dr. Javier, one of the two dependable anthropologists teaching with the Department. During her early professional years, Ms. Manlagnit served as a researcher and later became a research associate and fellow at SDRC. Her researches have ranged from studies on vulnerable groups like children and the elderly, to health care and services, to participatory research in health, to social forestry. The present study dwells on a relatively new and challenging area for the Center – that of displaced populations.

The study is part of a multi-country research entitled “Insights into the Displaced Populations.” It aims to explore the realities about internal population displacement caused by different factors. Five research institutions in four countries are involved in this undertaking: De La Salle University in Manila; Assumption University in Thailand; University of St. Joseph in Beirut; Shelter Don Bosco in Mumbai, India; and Stella Maris College in Chennai, also in India.

The Philippine component, being undertaken by SDRC, seeks to describe people's perceptions about and experiences during displacement caused by natural disasters, particularly flashfloods and mudslides in a rural municipality in Southern Philippines. More specifically, it aims to 1) obtain relevant information about displaced people's perceptions on natural disaster and displacement phenomena, and describe how these perceptions shape their experiences during displacement; 2) determine the pattern of displacement and describe its consequences, with a particular focus on the problems people experience during displacement; 3) look into the different self-help strategies displaced people use to cope with the problems they encounter; 4) identify structures that assist displaced people and describe the nature and process of assistance; and 5) identify key issues and relevant concerns on the return process.

The research is being conducted in the municipality of St. Bernard in Southern Leyte where major flashfloods occurred in February 2006. During that disaster, a massive mudslide covered one of St. Bernard's barangays. Survivors from that barangay are now in a resettlement village found in Barangay Magbagakay in this town. This new village is considered a permanent resettlement area and has been chosen as the major site for the research study. However, in order to have insights about
people who are still in a more temporary resettlement situation, people staying in the two major evacuation centers in the municipality who have come from nearby barangays are also being included in the study.

This three-year multi-country research study commenced in November 2006, right after the First Directors’ meeting held at DLSU and attended by representatives from IFCU and the different participating research institutions.

In April, 2007, a second Directors’ meeting was held at Stella Maris College in Chennai, India. It was again attended by representatives from IFCU and the different participating research institutions. During this meeting, research study proposals were presented, discussed and eventually finalized.

Fieldwork began in May, 2007. Activities conducted during this period include research site identification; contacts and coordination with local government units concerned; construction, pretest and finalization of research instruments; initial meetings with the community; and other preparations for the actual data gathering.

Actual data collection started in July 2007. A combination of different methods was used to gather data. This included in-depth interviews of respondents, participant observations, casual conversations with people in the resettlement and evacuation areas, and a review of documents and other secondary data available.

The general perceptions regarding natural calamities and the displacement phenomenon were that the flash floods were God’s punishment; God’s reminder/a wake up call; God’s will; destiny; a test of man's faith and trust in God; the consequence of man's destructive actions; the inevitable effects of heavy rainfall; and for some, it was believed that a bomb was made to explode in the mountains. With regard to the displacement phenomenon, a common view was that it was the government that forced residents to leave their homes.

Among the perceived needs elicited from the respondents as a result of the calamity were the basics – food, shelter, clothing; more regular sources of income; proof/certification of ownership of the housing units given to them; and financial support for the education of family members.
Funded by the World Health Organization/TDR, this study uses a selective, inter-sectoral approach to dengue vector control aimed at epidemiologically important key containers identified by pupa surveys and applied during critical periods of dengue transmission. This is intended to result in long-term source reduction and effective dengue control in the Philippines. The questions it seeks responses to are: What and how much do eco-biological and social factors affect dengue vector density in overcrowded, dense areas with unplanned urbanization and rapid population growth? How do these factors contribute to the cyclical increase in dengue cases? How can this information be formulated into a rational strategy for dengue control? Under a devoted system of health services and limited resources, who are the stakeholders for this strategy? What collaboration and linkages must be developed among them?

Finally, what are the recommendations for more effective dengue control?

The findings resulting from the study were composed of ecological and biological factors, and social factors. The ecological and biological factors, taken from the study’s Entomological and Pupal Survey, were:

**Regarding Water Supply.** In the high density clusters, water supply is not adequate. Very few homes in 2 clusters and none in 2 others have piped water supply. HHs purchase water from a distributor (water truck) supplied either privately or by the city government. A public faucet is available in one cluster.

**Regarding Pupal/Larval Productivity.** It was found that 239 (or 7%) of the more than 2,000 containers in the households had pupa. *Aedes aegypti* was the dominant vector for all clusters with a mean pupae/positive container of 11.1. *Aedes albopictus* was observed from pupa reared from clusters identified to have low reported dengue incidence and low density cluster.

**Regarding Key Containers.** The key containers for the private spaces were drums (61.7%), those falling under “others” category (14%), and tires (7%). Containers falling under the “others” category are varied: discarded items (jar, pot, pot cover, plastic plates, plate trays, drum cover, dipper, flower pot, Styrofoam ice box); trash (plastic mineral water containers, basketball ring stand, rolled canvass); dish...
racks found in kitchens; and a fountain in a garden.

When examined by population density and reported dengue case incidence, drums were still the key containers. Seventy-three (73) drums were observed to contain pupa; 92% (or 67) of the drums were used for household purposes.

The key containers from public spaces include tires (41%) as the overall key container; the “others” category (15%) (consisting of old cups and discarded toilet, water tank top cover); and coconut shells (12%).

Meanwhile, the social factors, derived from the KAP Survey, FGDs and HH Observations, were:

Regarding Knowledge. Many of those in the sample were knowledgeable in terms of the vector and the disease itself: 59% are from high dengue incidence barangays, and 72% are from low dengue incidence barangays. A few had some misconceptions as to the vector that carries the virus and in terms of the possible breeding sites of the mosquito.

Regarding Attitudes. The respondents perceive the prevention and solution of dengue as being the government’s responsibility.

Community interventions were fogging, health education, and checking of water containers. Among those who learned/participated in training for dengue control, 37% are from high dengue incidence barangays, and 31% are from low dengue incidence barangays.

Community participation in health-related activities is very minimal. The importance of the barangay health workers’ role in the community has been downplayed.

According to practices, those related to cleanliness are still not a priority in the barangays.

Of community programs to clean the environment, 54% are from the high dengue incidence barangays, and 63% are from the low dengue incidence barangays. Some areas in Muntinlupa, particularly near the high density clusters, still remain to have unfavorable environmental conditions. Water supply is a problem and the practice of storing water is common.

Among the sampled residents who store water, 78% are residents from the high dengue incidence barangays and 55% are from the low dengue incidence clusters. Women (specifically mothers) are usually in charge of storing water and cleaning water storage containers. Water supply is a problem and the practice of storing water is common.