



PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

College of Sciences

De La Salle University - Manila

COMMENT SHEET

Title : _____

Student Name/IDnumber: _____

Course/Specialization: _____ **Date:** _____

Thesis Proposal Defense

Thesis Final Defense

Remarks: (The Panelist may use the back side of the Comment Sheet for further remarks)

Name/Signature
Thesis Panel Chairman

Name/Signature
Thesis Panel Member

Name/Signature
Thesis Panel Member

Defense Result: Passed with minor revisions with major revisions

Unsatisfactory/Failed

Final Numerical Grade: _____

NOTE: The panelist may use Rubric Scoring 1 for Oral Presentation and Rubric Scoring 2 for submitted thesis (hardcopy) and obtain the average to come up with the Final Numerical Grade. Submit thesis comment sheet to the THSPHY1 Coordinator or the Department Secretary to input the final grade in the online grading sheet.

1. Rubric for THSPHY1 Oral Presentation				
Criterion	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs Improvement (1)
Understanding of topic 25%	The topic is clearly understood, the topic in-depth, and information is presented forcefully and convincingly	The topic is clearly understood, the topic in-depth, and information is presented with ease	The main points of the topic are clearly understood and presented with ease	No adequate understanding of the topic
Presentation Style 25%	Consistently used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice, and level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience	Used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience	Sometimes used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience	A presentation style that did not keep the attention of the audience
Information 25%	All information presented in the debate was clear, accurate, and thorough	Most of information presented in the debate was clear, accurate, and thorough	Most of information presented in the debate was clear, accurate, but was not usually thorough	Information had several inaccuracies or was usually not clear
Use of Facts/ Statistics 25%	Every major point was well supported with several relevant facts, statistics and/or examples	Every major point was adequately supported with relevant facts, statistics and/or examples	Every major point was supported with facts, statistics, and/or examples, but the relevance of some was questionable	Every point was not supported

Rubric Score 1: _____

2. Rubric for submitted thesis (hardcopy)				
Criterion	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs Improvement (1)
Organization 30%	Manuscript is well-organized and structured.	Manuscript is organized but lacks certain key elements.	Manuscript show organization but has several portions that are not relevant.	Manuscript is disorganized and the flow of information and arguments are confusing.
Scientific Accuracy 30%	Scientific explanations or facts presented/cited are 100% accurate.	Scientific explanations or facts presented/cited show some inaccuracies.	Scientific explanations or facts presented/cited show a significant number of inaccuracies	Scientific explanations or facts presented or cited are all misconceptions
Presentation of Arguments or Explanations 40%	Arguments and explanations presented are clear, valid, and convincing.	Arguments presented are clear, valid, and convincing but has several flaws.	The arguments and explanations presented only partially addressed the problem.	The arguments and explanations presented do not in any way address the problem.

Rubric Score 2: _____

Average Rubric Score: _____