

Resolution No. 2006-

Sponsored by:

Karyl Factora, *FOCUS2005*
Claudine Peña, *CATCH2T9*
Clara Kathleen B. Sanchez, *CATCH2T8*

Authored by:

Francis Lou L. Siasat
Former Legislative Assembly Representative of CATCH2T8 and
Former Legislative Assembly Floor Leader

**RESOLUTION CALLING FOR THE INSTITUTIONALIZATION OF THE ANNUAL
COMMEMORATION OF LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY WEEK**

Whereas, the Legislative Assembly is the highest policy making body in the Student Council (De La Salle University Student Council Constitution Article VII, Section 1);

Whereas, the Legislative Assembly celebrated its pilot Legislative Assembly Week from January 9 to 14, 2006;

Whereas, the said Legislative Assembly Week for school year 2005-2006 had the following objectives:

- i. To heighten the awareness of the students regarding the entire system of the Legislative Assembly;
 - a. Roles of the Legislative Assembly;
 - b. Roles and responsibilities of the Legislative Assembly Representatives; and
 - c. The Legislative Assembly committees and their respective functions.
- ii. To educate the students about the things that the Legislative Assembly has done/has been doing/plans to do;
 - a. History of the Legislative Assembly; and
 - b. Resolutions approved by the Legislative Assembly during the 1st term of the school year.
- iii. To advocate pressing issues inside and outside the university;
- iv. To promote the overall visibility of the Legislative Assembly; and

- v. To acquaint the students with the available venues for them to take part in legislation.

Whereas, one of the functions of the Legislative Assembly Floor Leader is to become the official representative of the Legislative Assembly in functions that require their presence and be given the power to decide on matters concerning the proper administration of the Legislative Assembly (Legislative Assembly Rules of Internal Governance, Article VI, Section 1.2);

Whereas, the concluded Legislative Assembly Week for school year 2005-2006 was headed by former Legislative Assembly Floor Leader, Francis Siasat, and was assisted by the Legislative Assembly Week Central Committee;

Whereas, the Student Council Office of the President was the sponsoring unit of the said week;

Wherefore, be it resolved that the succeeding Legislative Assemblies, upon the approval of this resolution, annually commemorate Legislative Assembly Week;

Wherefore, be it further resolved that all Legislative Assembly Weeks be scheduled within the second term of every school year after the Freshman Elections to ensure the participation of Freshman Legislative Assembly Representatives;

Wherefore, be it further resolved that the Legislative Assembly Floor Leader be the default Project Head for the said commemoration and be given the responsibility to form his/her assisting committee. Collectively, they shall be in-charge of the operations of the entire Legislative Assembly Week;

Wherefore, be it further resolved that the Student Council Office of the President be the official sponsoring Student Council unit for all Legislative Assembly Weeks;

Wherefore, be it finally resolved that participation for each and every Legislative Assembly Week be made mandatory for all Legislative Assembly Representatives.

Adopted,

Legislative Assembly Week 2006 *SWOT Analysis*

Strengths

- Publicity was good and striking.
- Marketing and Finance Committee had lots of money.
- The exhibit caught lots of attention.
- The Freedom Board featured good issues.
- *Superbooth* was very good and had lots of patrons.
- L.A. primers were informative.
- The *Conversations* talk show was well-implemented.
- The activities were well-thought of.
- The L.A. Week Central Committee (CenCom) members and volunteers were committed and passionate.
- The L.A. Week CenCom members were willing to help 100%.
- The L.A. Week CenCom heads were creative.
- The project head (Francis Siasat) was dedicated and committed.
- The L.A. Week CenCom members' talents and experience proved to be useful for the event.
- The availability of the L.A. Week CenCom members was a key factor in the event's success.
- The availability of school facilities that were needed contributed to the implementation of the different activities.
- Other Student Council (SC) officers, particularly Mr. Dan Dizon, Engineering College Assembly President, and Mr. Jhapet Flordeliza, member of the Office of the Executive Secretary, helped in implementing the activities such as the live feed of the open L.A. session.

Weaknesses

- The publicity materials were late.
- The exhibit, Freedom Board and *Superbooth* were setup late.
- The *Conversations* talk show should have been televised instead.
- There had been bad audio quality in the open L.A. session.
- Lack of manpower
- Not many people came to take part in the activities.
- *Superbooth* was situated in the Gokongwei lobby only; should have placed it in SJ walk for more exposure.
- Activities should have been more effective.
- Bad timing/scheduling of the event
- Lack of "hatak" or participation of administration personnel
- Some of the L.A. Week CenCom members were late in their submission of documents and in attending meetings.

- There were lapses in the scheduling of L.A. Week CenCom meetings and in reminding everyone of the activity implementation.
- The L.A. Week CenCom was, at some point, complacent.

Opportunities

- To advocate and campaign students' rights
- For L.A. Representatives to bond
- First time for the L.A. to manage activities
- To raise funds for Gawad Kalinga
- For people from different colleges to work together and help in implementing the activities

Threats

- Bureaucracy (fund-raising approval did not push through)
- Caused one cancellation of an activity for the Office of the President of the SC
- One additional offense for late processing of documents
- Lack of cooperation
- Response of professors for the talk show
- Cancellation of participation of speakers (for the supposed forum)
- Lack of time
- Health of the L.A. Week CenCom members
- Lag time of the L.A. Week CenCom
- Unclear division of work